



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Dig. § 242 (7); Principal and Agent, Cent. Dig. § 40; Dec. Dig. § 22 (2).* 1 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 248.]

5. Evidence (§ 119 (3)*)—Res Gestæ.—Where the communication, on which an action for insulting words is based grew out of the occupancy by plaintiff and his wife of defendant's farm, on her request that they should occupy and manage it, in her absence, a letter of plaintiff's wife to defendant in reply to one to her, telling her not to have certain persons visit her at the farm, is admissible as part of the *res gestæ*.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Evidence, Cent. Dig. §§ 305, 306; Dec. Dig. § 119 (3).* 11 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 910.]

6. Appeal and Error (§ 690 (4)*)—Record—Questions Reviewable—Absence of Evidence.—An assignment of error to a ruling, admitting evidence to show exercise of authority, cannot be considered; the bill of exceptions on which the assignment is based containing no evidence showing such exercise of authority.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Appeal and Error, Cent. Dig. § 2899; Dec. Dig. § 690 (4).* 1 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 546.]

7. Libel and Slander (§ 120 (1)*)—Insulting Words—Punitive Damages.—Plaintiff, in an action under Code 1904, § 2897, for insulting words, may be given punitive damages, though he prove no actual pecuniary loss, and admits that he was not, by the letter complained of, injured or damaged in his reputation among his neighbors.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Libel and Slander, Cent. Dig. § 350; Dec. Dig. § 120 (1).* 9 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 283.]

8. Libel and Slander (§ 121 (1)*)—Insulting Words—Excessive Damages.—Under the evidence in an action under Code 1904, § 2897, for insulting words, held a verdict for \$2,500 could not be said to be excessive.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Libel and Slander, Cent. Dig. § 353; Dec. Dig. § 121 (1).* 9 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 280.]

Error to Circuit Court, Charles City County.

Action by Hugh T. Harrison against Mrs. Clarise H. Ramsay. Judgment for plaintiff, and defendant brings error. Affirmed.

SINCLAIR *v.* FAIRFAX.

June 8, 1916.

[89 S. E. 1070.]

Appeal and Error—Necessity of Exception in Lower Court.—No informality in the record can be taken advantage of unless excepted to.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases see 1 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 552.]

*For other cases see same topic and KEY-NUMBER in all Key-Numbered Digests and Indexes.

Error to Circuit Court of City of Alexandria.

Action by E. L. Sinclair against Milton S. Fairfax, doing business as Fairfax & Co. Judgment for defendant, and plaintiff brings error. Affirmed.

J. Donald Richards and *William Horgan*, both of Warrenton, for plaintiff in error.

S. G. Brent, of Alexandria, for defendant in error.